

UAMS MEDICAL CENTER
TRAUMA and CRITICAL CARE SERVICES MANUAL

SUBJECT: GI Prophylaxis

SUPERSEDES: New

PAGE: 1 of 3

RECOMMENDATION(S): Muhammad Abu-Rmaileh

APPROVAL: 3/4/2021

CONCURRENCE(S): Kyle Kalkwarf, MD; Allie Oswalt, PharmD
Rebecca Smith, PharmD

EFFECTIVE: 3/4/2021

PURPOSE: To provide guidelines for initiating GI prophylaxis.

DEFINITIONS:

- H2-blockers = histamine 2 receptor antagonists (e.g., famotidine)
- PPI = proton pump inhibitors (e.g., pantoprazole)
- Clinically important GI bleeding = evidence of upper GI bleed with any of the following¹:
 - Hemodynamic changes not explained by other causes
 - Need for transfusion of more than 1 unit of blood
 - Decrease in hemoglobin level
 - Bleeding on upper GI endoscopy
 - Need for interventional radiology or surgery to control bleeding.

INCLUSION CRITERIA: 1) All SICU patients 2) All EGS/trauma patients (progressive and floor)

SPECIAL POPULATIONS: The following patient populations REQUIRE long-term stress ulcer prophylaxis which should be continued regardless of if they meet the criteria listed in this guideline²:

- Acute upper GI bleed
- Roux-en-Y Gastric Surgery
- Home medication
- Erosive esophagitis
- Helicobacter pylori treatment
- Gastric or duodenal ulcer
- Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD)
- Zollinger-Ellison Syndrome

PROTOCOL:

- GI prophylaxis should be considered in critically-ill patients with the following³:
 - Mechanical ventilation (MV) WITH either acute kidney injury (SCr x2 baseline), shock^{4,5}, or no enteral nutrition
 - Shock requiring GI prophylaxis is continuous infusion of vasopressors/inotropes, systolic pressures below 90mmHg, MAP below 70mmHg, or plasma lactate \geq 4
 - **If the patient is receiving enteral feeding, GI prophylaxis provides no additional protection⁶**
 - No studies distinguish pre- vs post-pyloric enteral nutrition so they should be considered equivalent
 - Severe liver disease consisting of portal hypertension, variceal bleed, hepatic encephalopathy, or cirrhosis proven by biopsy

These guidelines were prepared by the UAMS Trauma Service. They are intended to serve only as a guideline based on current review of the medical literature and practice. They are neither policies nor protocols. Their use is at the discretion of the managing physician.

- Coagulopathy on admission (defined as INR \geq 2 (off warfarin) or platelets < 30K)
- IV H2-blockers or PPI should be reserved for patients unable to tolerate enteral medications
- Evaluate daily if the patient needs to be on stress ulcer prophylaxis and discontinue when therapy is no longer warranted.
- Pharmacologic Agents:
 - **Famotidine** (H2-blocker):
 - Dosing:
 - Normal renal function (CrCl >50 mL/min)
 - 20mg PO/PT/IV q12hr
 - Renal dysfunction (CrCl <50 mL/min)
 - 20mg PO/PT/IV q24hr
 - **Pantoprazole** (Proton Pump Inhibitor)
 - Dosing:
 - 40mg PO/IV q24hrs
 - Limit use to:
 - Patients with clinically significant GI bleeding
 - PPI as home medication (if appropriate indication)

Examples of patients who do not require GI prophylaxis:

- Non-MV patients who are NPO prior to procedure/surgery
- Non-MV patients who are being fed post-pyloric or through TPN without additional risk factors/indications
- Patient who is only septic
- Steroid use with no other risk factors
- Acute hepatic failure
- Use of anticoagulants
- Cancer
- Home PPI without appropriate indication (should be stopped if no appropriate indication found)

EVIDENCE:

- There is only weak evidence that PPIs possibly reduce the risk of clinically important bleeding in high risk patients compared to H2-blockers, but the confidence interval includes no difference (OR 0.58; 95% CI 0.29 - 1.17).³
- Clinical trials and meta-analysis show only weak evidence that GI prophylaxis may be associated with hospital acquired pneumonia and/or *C. diff* infection^{3,6,7}
- H2-blockers are associated with less pneumonia development than PPIs.³
- PPIs did not improve mortality in a multicenter, parallel-group, blinded trial with 3298 comparing pantoprazole to saline placebo (relative risk 1.02; 95% CI 0.91-1.13; p 0.76).⁸
 - 90-day Kaplan-Meier curves between PPI and placebo were identical.⁸
 - Subgroup analyses of shock, MV, coagulopathy, history of liver disease, and elevated SAPS II scores did not show any statistically significant reduction in GI bleed with PPIs.⁸
- A meta-analysis showed that GI prophylaxis reduces clinically important gastrointestinal bleeding (RR 0.73; 95% CI 0.57 - 0.92) with an absolute risk difference of -0.51 (95% CI -0.90 to -0.12; p 0.009)¹ and meta-analysis of multiple trials with an OR 0.61 (95% CI 0.42 - 0.89).⁹
- There is no evidence that the route of administration (IV or PO) alters effectiveness of PPIs or H2-blockers.¹⁰

References:

1. Young PJ, Bagshaw SM, Forbes AB, et al. Effect of Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis with Proton Pump Inhibitors vs Histamine-2 Receptor Blockers on In-Hospital Mortality among ICU Patients Receiving Invasive Mechanical Ventilation: The PEPTIC Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc*. Published online 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.22190
2. Parsons C, Hangyul C-E, Berte N. Stanford Hospital and Clinics MEDICATION MONITORING: Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis Clinical Guidelines. *Stanford Hosp Clin*. Published online 2015.
3. Ye Z, Reintam Blaser A, Lytvyn L, et al. Gastrointestinal bleeding prophylaxis for critically ill patients: A clinical practice guideline. *BMJ*. Published online 2020. doi:10.1136/bmj.l6722
4. Cook DJ, Fuller HD, Guyatt GH, et al. Risk factors for gastrointestinal bleeding in critically ill patients. Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. *N Engl J Med*. Published online 1994. doi:10.1056/NEJM199402103300601
5. Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. *Intensive Care Med*. Published online 2017. doi:10.1007/s00134-017-4683-6
6. Huang H Bin, Jiang W, Wang CY, Qin HY, Du B. Stress ulcer prophylaxis in intensive care unit patients receiving enteral nutrition: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care*. Published online 2018. doi:10.1186/s13054-017-1937-1
7. Zhou X, Fang H, Xu J, et al. Stress ulcer prophylaxis with proton pump inhibitors or histamine 2 receptor antagonists in critically ill adults - a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials with trial sequential analysis. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2019;19(193).
8. Krag M, Marker S, Perner A, et al. Pantoprazole in Patients at Risk for Gastrointestinal Bleeding in the ICU. *N Engl J Med*. Published online 2018. doi:10.1056/nejmoa1714919
9. Wang Y, Ye Z, Ge L, et al. Efficacy and safety of gastrointestinal bleeding prophylaxis in critically ill patients: Systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. Published online 2020. doi:10.1136/bmj.l6744
10. Shin S. Evaluation of costs accrued through inadvertent continuation of hospital-initiated proton pump inhibitor therapy for stress ulcer prophylaxis beyond hospital discharge: A retrospective chart review. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. Published online 2015. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S81759